

## CS 6222, Homework 4

Instructor: Wei-Kai Lin

Total points: 30. Points are noted after each problem.

**Problem 1** (2pt each). Let  $f$  be a pseudorandom function. Show that each of the following MACs is insecure. (In each case  $\text{Gen}$  outputs a uniform  $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ ; we let  $\langle i \rangle$  denote an  $n/2$ -bit encoding of the integer  $i$ .)

- To authenticate a message  $m = m_1, \dots, m_\ell$ , where  $m_i \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , compute  $t := f_k(m_1) \oplus \dots \oplus f_k(m_\ell)$ .
- To authenticate a message  $m = m_1, \dots, m_\ell$ , where  $m_i \in \{0, 1\}^{n/2}$ , compute  $t := f_k(\langle 1 \rangle \| m_1) \oplus \dots \oplus f_k(\langle \ell \rangle \| m_\ell)$ .
- To authenticate a message  $m = m_1, \dots, m_\ell$ , where  $m_i \in \{0, 1\}^{n/2}$ , choose uniform  $r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ , compute  $t := f_k(r) \oplus f_k(\langle 1 \rangle \| m_1) \oplus \dots \oplus f_k(\langle \ell \rangle \| m_\ell)$ , and let the tag be  $(r, t)$ .

[KL, Exercise 4.6]

**Problem 2** (4pt). Can the following problem be solved in polynomial time? Given a prime  $p$ , an integer  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}^*$ , and  $y := g^e \pmod p$  where  $g$  is a uniform value in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , find  $g$ ; that is, compute  $y^{1/e} \pmod p$ . If your answer is “yes,” give a polynomial-time algorithm. If your answer is “no,” show a reduction to one of the assumptions introduced in [KL, Chapter 9]. [KL, Exercise 9.21]

**Problem 3** (3pt each). Consider the following construction (a generalization of the collision-resistant hash function discussed in class and in [KL, Construction 9.78]).

**Construction.** Define a hash function  $(\text{Gen}, H)$  parameterized by an integer  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  as follows:

- Gen:** on input  $1^n$ , run the cyclic group sampling to obtain  $(G, q, g, h_2, \dots, h_t)$  where  $q$  is  $n$ -bit prime, the order of  $G$  is  $q$ ,  $g$  is a generator of  $G$ , and  $h_i$  is sampled uniformly at random from  $G$  for all  $i = 2, \dots, t$ . Output  $s := (G, q, g, h_2, \dots, h_t)$  as the key.
- H:** given a key  $s = (G, q, g, h_2, \dots, h_t)$  and input  $(x_1, \dots, x_t)$  with  $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ , output

$$H_s(x_1, \dots, x_t) := g^{x_1} \prod_{i=2}^t h_i^{x_i}.$$

- Prove that if the discrete-logarithm problem is hard relative to  $G$  and  $q$  is prime, then for any  $t$  that is a polynomial of  $n$ , this construction is a collision-resistant hash function.
- Notice that the output of  $H$  is an element of  $G$ , but the number of bits needed to represent an element can be longer than  $n$  (even  $q$  is  $n$ -bit). Discuss how this construction can be used to obtain compression regardless of the number of bits needed to represent elements of  $G$  (as long as it is polynomial in  $n$ ).

[KL, Exercise 9.28]

**Problem 4** (4pt). Read Section 6.2, “The Merkle-Damgård Transform,” of [KL] and answer the following.

Generalize the Merkle–Damgård transform to the case where  $(\text{Gen}, h)$  takes inputs of length  $n + 1$  and generates outputs of length  $n$ . (The hash function you construct should accept inputs of any

length  $L < 2^n$ .) Prove that your transform yields a collision-resistant hash function for arbitrary-length inputs if  $(\text{Gen}, h)$  is collision resistant. [KL, Exercise 6.5]

**Problem 5** (4pt). Given a computable function  $\text{Com}$ , some polynomial  $l(\cdot)$ , the definition of perfect binding and hiding are

1. Perfect binding: For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $v_0, v_1 \in \{0, 1\}^n$  such that  $v_0 \neq v_1$ ,  $r_0, r_1 \in \{0, 1\}^{l(n)}$ , it holds that  $\text{Com}(v_0, r_0) \neq \text{Com}(v_1, r_1)$ .
2. Perfect hiding: For all unbounded distinguisher  $D$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $v_0, v_1 \in \{0, 1\}^n$ ,

$$\Pr[r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{l(n)} : D(\text{Com}(v_0, r)) = 1] = \Pr[r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{l(n)} : D(\text{Com}(v_1, r)) = 1]$$

Prove that there do not exist a commitment scheme  $\text{Com}$  that satisfies both perfect binding and perfect hiding.

**Problem 6** (3pt each). The zero-knowledge proof (ZKP) of Graph 3-Coloring and thus ZKP for any language in NP can be abstracted as follows. Let  $L$  be a language in class NP, and let  $x \in L$  and  $w$  is a witness of  $x$ . The ZKP protocol  $(P, V)$  proceed as below.

1.  $P(x, w)$  computes  $(\text{state}, m_1)$  and then sends message  $m_1$  to  $V$ .
2.  $V(x)$  samples message  $m_2$  uniformly at random and then sends  $m_2$  to  $P$  *without the need to look at  $m_1$* .
3.  $P(x, w)$  uses  $(\text{state}, m_2)$  and then computes and sends  $m_3$  to  $V$ .

(Afterward,  $V$  checks the consistency of  $(x, m_1, m_2, m_3)$  and then Accepts or Rejects.)

This is known as “sigma protocol,” and it is useful to observe that  $m_2$  is uniform and independent of  $m_1$ . The communication cost of the protocol is the total message length, denoted as  $C(P, V) := |m_1| + |m_2| + |m_3|$ .

Using the abstraction, consider the composition of two ZKP of two NP languages  $L_a, L_b$ . Suppose that  $(P_a, V_a)$  is a ZKP of  $L_a$  and  $(P_b, V_b)$  is a ZKP of  $L_b$ .

- (a) Construct a ZKP protocol such that a prover can efficiently convince a verifier that

$$“x_a \in L_a \text{ AND } x_b \in L_b”$$

when the prover is given the two witnesses  $w_a$  of  $x_a$  and  $w_b$  of  $x_b$ . Show that the communication cost is  $C(P_a, V_a) + C(P_b, V_b)$ . Prove the completeness, soundness, and zero-knowledge.

- (b) Construct a ZKP protocol such that a prover can efficiently convince a verifier that

$$“x_a \in L_a \text{ OR } x_b \in L_b”$$

when the prover is given only either  $w_a$  or  $w_b$ . Show that the communication cost is  $C(P_a, V_a) + C(P_b, V_b)$ . Prove the completeness, soundness, and zero-knowledge. Specifically by zero-knowledge, the verifier shall not learn which of  $(x_a, x_b)$  is in the corresponding language. Hint: assume that both protocols  $(P_a, V_a)$  and  $(P_b, V_b)$  send their  $m_2$ 's of the same length, and then consider that the verifier sends only one  $m_2$  so that the prover is allowed to respond arbitrarily on one of the two instances; since  $m_2$  is uniform and independent in both protocols, the completeness and soundness are almost direct, but ZK is challenging.